

Streets of Philadelphia



CMSC210 B5

Can you avoid a ticket?

1. Parking your car to the right of the pole at 2 PM on Friday
2. Waiting for your friend at the right of the pole at 5 PM on Saturday

1

System Description

The system is in multiuser state if and only if it is operating normally. If the system is operating normally, the kernel is functioning. The kernel is not functioning or the system is in interrupt mode. If the system is not in multiuser state, then it is in interrupt mode. The system is not in interrupt mode.

Would the above text *logically* make sense? Why?

CMSC210 B5

2

Two Main Types of Logic

- Propositional logic [today]
 - Can express **true/false statements** and their combinations E.g., The system is in multiuser state.
 - Basic component of first-order logic
- First-order logic (FOL) [next lecture]
 - Can express quantifiers: “**every**”, “**some**”
 - Can specify structures

many more types of logic ...

CMSC210 B5

3

B5: Propositional Logic

Today

- Understand how to use propositional logic
 - Symbols/Syntax
 - Semantics
 - Proofs
- Take-home exercises
 - System description, Street sign, Analysis of “if”

Can be easy or challenging, depending your previous experience

CMSC210 B5

4

Section 1

Building Blocks

- **Proposition**: A statement that is either **true** or **false** (i.e., **truth value**)
 - E.g., “it is raining”, “there is no class”
- **Symbols** non-propositions?
 - **Propositional variables**: p, q, r, \dots
 - **Connectives**: Unary: \neg , Binary: $\vee, \wedge, \oplus, \ll$
- **Syntax**: how to combine these symbols
 - E.g., $\neg(p \vee q), \neg p \vee \neg q, (p \oplus q) \oplus (r \oplus (q \vee r))$

CMSC210 B5

5

Well-Formed Formula (wff)

- Legal statements in propositional logic
 - Primitive wff's syntax: good/bad
 - Propositional variables
 - Complex wff's
 - Adding \neg in front of a wff j , i.e., $(\neg j)$
 - Combining two wff's j and ψ , using binary connectives: $(j \vee \psi), (j \wedge \psi), (j \oplus \psi), (j \ll \psi)$
 - Repeated application of these processes
- () may be omitted when no confusion arises.

CMSC210 B5

6

Exercise

Identify wff's and non-wff's

- A. p
- B. $\emptyset(\emptyset p)$
- C. $\emptyset\emptyset p$
- D. $\emptyset p \emptyset q$
- E. $p \emptyset \dot{\cup} q$
- F. $\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q$
- G. $(p \textcircled{R} q) \textcircled{R} ((r \dot{\cup} p) \textcircled{R} (q \dot{\cup} r))$
- H. $()$

- Primitive wff's
 - Propositional variables
- Complex wff's
 - Adding \neg in front of a wff ϕ , i.e., $(\neg\phi)$
 - Combining two wff's ϕ and ψ , using binary connectives: $(\phi \wedge \psi)$, $(\phi \vee \psi)$, $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$, $(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$
 - Repeated application of these processes

Meaning?
What do we need?

CMSC210 B5

7

Section Summary

- Propositional variables represent true/false statements.
- The syntax of propositional logic is a specific way of combining propositional variables using connectives.

no meaning yet

CMSC210 B5

8

Section 2

Semantics

- **Assignment** (of a propositional variable): Function that maps the set of variables to $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$, \mathbf{T} for 'true' and \mathbf{F} for 'false'
- **Interpretation**: The semantics of the logic proper, i.e., meaning of logical connectives

CMSC210 B5

9

Truth Table

- Tabular representation of truth value computation

p	q	$\emptyset p$	$p \dot{\cup} q$	$p \dot{\cup} q$	$p \textcircled{R} q$	$p \ll q$
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	F
F	F	T	F	F	T	T

CMSC210 B5

10

Connective Names

- $\emptyset p$: **Negation** (not)
- $p \dot{\cup} q$: **Conjunction** (and)
- $p \dot{\cup} q$: **Disjunction** (or)
- $p \textcircled{R} q$: **Conditional** (if)
- $p \ll q$: **Biconditional** (if and only if or iff)

Truth table vs. Meaning in English

CMSC210 B5

11

Special Cases

- **Tautology**: A wff that is **true** regardless of the truth assignment to variables.
 - E.g., $p \dot{\cup} \emptyset p$
- **Contradiction**: A wff that is **false** regardless of the truth assignment to variables.
 - E.g., $p \dot{\cup} \emptyset p$

CMSC210 B5

12

Group Exercise

- A. Evaluate the truth value of the following wff when p, q, r are all **F**:
 $(\emptyset p \textcircled{R} q) \dot{\cup} (\emptyset p \dot{\cup} r)$
- B. Determine whether the following wff's are tautology (always true), contradiction (always false), or neither:
 B1: $(\emptyset(p \dot{\cup} q)) \ll (\emptyset p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q)$
 B2: $(\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q) \dot{\cup} (p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q)$

CMSC210 B5

13

Use as reference

Analysis Techniques (1)

- Identify special conditions on subformulas
 - $j \dot{\cup} y$: To be **T**, both j and y must be **T**
 - $j \dot{\cup} y$: To be **F**, both j and y must be **F**
 - $j \textcircled{R} y$: To be **F**, j must be **T** and y must be **F**
 - $j \ll y$: To be **T**, j and y must agree
- Using a new formula without affecting value
 - If j is **T**, $j \dot{\cup} y$ and $y \dot{\cup} j$ must be **T** for *any* y

CMSC210 B5

14

Use as reference

Analysis Techniques (2)

- Use of equivalence
 - $\emptyset \emptyset j$ is equivalent to j (double negation)
 - $j \dot{\cup} y \Leftrightarrow y \dot{\cup} j$ (commutativity)
 - $j \dot{\cup} y \Leftrightarrow y \dot{\cup} j$
 - $\emptyset(j \dot{\cup} y) \Leftrightarrow \emptyset j \dot{\cup} \emptyset y$ ('De Morgan')
 - $\emptyset(j \dot{\cup} y) \Leftrightarrow \emptyset j \dot{\cup} \emptyset y$
 - $\emptyset j \dot{\cup} y \Leftrightarrow j \textcircled{R} y$ (' \rightarrow ' shorthand)
 - $j \ll y \Leftrightarrow (j \textcircled{R} y) \dot{\cup} (y \textcircled{R} j)$ (' \leftrightarrow ' shorthand)
 - $j \textcircled{R} y \Leftrightarrow \emptyset y \textcircled{R} \emptyset j$ ('contrapositive')

CMSC210 B5

15

System Description, Analyzed

Logically make sense \Leftrightarrow **Consistent**

System description: The system is in multiuser state (m) if and only if it is operating normally (o). If the system is operating normally (o), the kernel is functioning (f). The kernel is not functioning ($\neg f$) or the system is in interrupt mode (i). If the system is not in multiuser state ($\neg m$), then it is in interrupt mode (i). The system is not in interrupt mode ($\neg i$).

CMSC210 B5

16

Set of Statements

- Consistent:** *Some* truth value assignment makes *all* statements true.
- Not consistent**
 - \Leftrightarrow **Inconsistent**
 - \Leftrightarrow **contradictory**
 - \Leftrightarrow *No* truth value assignment makes *all* statements true.

CMSC210 B5

17

System Description, Formally

- $m \ll o$
 - $o \textcircled{R} f$
 - $\emptyset f \dot{\cup} i$
 - $\emptyset m \textcircled{R} i$
 - $\emptyset i$
- System description:**
- The system is in multiuser state (m) if and only if it is operating normally (o).
 - If the system is operating normally (o), the kernel is functioning (f).
 - The kernel is not functioning ($\neg f$) or the system is in interrupt mode (i).
 - If the system is not in multiuser state ($\neg m$), then it is in interrupt mode (i).
 - The system is not in interrupt mode ($\neg i$).

Easiest way of analyzing consistency?

CMSC210 B5

18

Section Summary

- The semantics of propositional logic is based on interpretation and assignment.
- In general, the brute-force approach of trying all possible truth value combinations is too tedious.
 - Try to find easier (still complete) analysis by using cases and equivalences

CMSC210 B5

19

Section 3

Proofs

- In some cases, semantic analysis can be tedious.
- Proof is a mechanical procedure that is used to derive a conclusion (**theorem**) from a **hypothesis**.
- Notes
 - Hypothesis: a set of logical statements
 - Proof steps must consist of individually-justified steps.

CMSC210 B5

20

Overview: Proof Structure

Hypothesis: $o \text{ @ } f, o$

Proof of f from the above hypothesis

1. $o \text{ @ } f$ [hyp]
 2. o [hyp]
 3. f [MP: 1, 2]
- conclusion/theorem
- justification for each step
- proof
- line numbering
- If the system is operating normally (o), the kernel is functioning (f).
 - The system is operating normally (o).

CMSC210 B5

21

Justification for Each Step

- Use of the hypothesis
 - **Modus Ponens (MP)** as a **Rule of inference**:
 - If we have $X \text{ @ } Y$ and X ,
 - Conclude Y
 - Use of justified equivalence
 - Use of ' \wedge '/' \vee ', commutativity, double negation, De Morgan, ' \rightarrow ' shorthand, contrapositive, etc.
 - Use of already proven statements
- See reference slide
- If the system is operating normally (o), the kernel is functioning (f).
 - The system is operating normally (o).

CMSC210 B5

22

Example: Direct Proof

Hypothesis: $m \ll o, \emptyset m \text{ @ } i, \emptyset i$

Proof of o from the above hypothesis

1. $\emptyset m \text{ @ } i$ [hyp]
2. $\emptyset i \text{ @ } m$ [contrapositive/double negation: 1]
3. $\emptyset i$ [hyp]
4. m [MP: 2, 3]
5. $m \ll o$ [hyp]
6. $(m \text{ @ } o) \dot{\cup} (o \text{ @ } m)$ [' \leftrightarrow ' shorthand: 5]
7. $m \text{ @ } o$ [use of ' \wedge ': 6]
8. o [MP: 7, 4]

CMSC210 B5

23

Example: Proof by Cases

Hypothesis: none

Proof of $(\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q) \dot{\cup} (p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q)$ [from empty hypothesis]

1. **Case 1:** $\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q$ [hyp]
2. $| (\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q) \dot{\cup} (p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q)$ [use of ' $\dot{\cup}$ ': 1]
3. **Case 2:** $\emptyset(\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q)$ [hyp]
4. $| p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q$ [De Morgan/double neg: 2]
5. $| p$ [use of ' \wedge ': 4]
6. $| p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q$ [use of ' $\dot{\cup}$ ': 5]
7. $| (\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q) \dot{\cup} (p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q)$ [use of ' $\dot{\cup}$ ': 6]
8. $(\emptyset p \dot{\cup} q) \dot{\cup} (p \dot{\cup} \emptyset q)$ [exhaustive cases: 1-2, 3-7]

CMSC210 B5

24

System Description, Revisited

Hypothesis: $m \ll o, o \textcircled{R} f, \emptyset f \dot{\cup} i, \emptyset m \textcircled{R} i, \emptyset i$

Proof of **F** from the above hypothesis

1. o [Theorem (a few slides ago)]
2. _____ [hyp]
3. f [MP: 1, 2]
4. $\emptyset f \dot{\cup} i$ [hyp]
5. _____ [_____: 4]
6. i [_____: 3, 5]
7. _____ [_____]
8. **F** [contradiction: 6,7]

CMSC210 B5

25

Example: Proof by Contradiction

Hypothesis: $m \ll o, \emptyset m \textcircled{R} i, \emptyset i$

Proof of m from the above hypothesis

1. $\emptyset m \textcircled{R} i$ [hyp]
2. | $\emptyset m$ [hyp to be rejected]
3. | i [MP: 1, 2]
4. | $\emptyset i$ [hyp]
5. | **F** [contradiction: 3, 5]
6. m [proof by contradiction: 2-5]

CMSC210 B5

26

Proof Methods Summary

- **Direct proof:** Straightforward proof of a conclusion from hypotheses (if any)
 - **Proof by cases:** Prove exclusive and exhaustive cases separately
- **Indirect proof:** Uses a proof of a proposition different from the conclusion
 - **Proof by contradiction:** Assume the negation of the conclusion and derive a contradiction
 - **Proof by contrapositive:** To prove $p \rightarrow q$, prove $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ [application of a tautology: $(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$]

CMSC210 B5

27

Special Theorems

- **Corollary:** A theorem that can be derived from another theorem 'easily'
- **Lemma:** A preliminary theorem that is used to prove a 'main' theorem

CMSC210 B5

28

Proofs in Practice

- **Guidelines**
 - Find an appropriate proof method, e.g., proof by cases, proof by contradiction.
 - Structure proofs modularly. I.e., prove lemmas to shorten the main proof.
 - Label every step.
 - Write justification for each step. I.e., refer to hypothesis, rules of inference, lemmas, theorems, and derived steps used in the justification.

CMSC210 B5

29

Example: Informal Proof

Proof of: $A \subseteq B$ iff $A \cap B = B$

Following the formal pattern
Not limited to propositional logic

1. ' \Rightarrow ': if $A \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B = B$
2. | For every $x \in A$, $x \in B$ [def: ' \subseteq ']
3. | $A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$ [def: ' \cap ']
4. | $\{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\} = \{x \mid x \in B \text{ and } x \in B\}$ [2, 3]
5. | $B = \{x \mid x \in B\}$ [def: set]
6. | $A \cap B = B$ [set identity: 4, 5]
7. ' \Leftarrow ': if $A \cap B = B$, then $A \subseteq B$
8. | analogous
9. $A \subseteq B$ iff $A \cap B = B$ [both directions of 'iff': 1-6, 7-8]

CMSC210 B5

30

Example: Non-Proof

Statement: "God exists"

Proof attempt

1. The word 'God' exists. [any dictionary]
2. | Suppose that God does not exist. [hyp]
3. | Then there must not be the word 'God'. [2]
4. | A contradiction. [1, 3]
5. Therefore, God exists.[proof by contradiction: 2-4]

Section Summary

- In propositional logic, we can **prove** any **true** theorem.
 - This is based on the truth-preserving nature of the proof procedure.
- Depending on the problem, choose either semantic analysis (Section 2) or proof (Section 3)
 - Occasionally, one is either than the other.

Summary Exercise

- Depending on your previous experience with logic, this unit may have been difficult. Describe your situation.
- [Questions/Comments/Suggestions](#)