

Ex B3: Mini Presentations

The main problems

- The universal language is semi-decidable.
 $ACCEPT_{TM} = \{(M, w) \mid TM M \text{ accepts } w\}$
- The complement of universal language is non-TM-recognizable.
 $NACCEPT_{TM} = \{(M, w) \mid TM M \text{ does not accept } w\}$
- The halting problem is semi-decidable.
 $HALT_{TM} = \{(M, w) \mid TM M \text{ halts on } w\}$
- Infinite loop detection is non-TM-recognizable.
 $LOOP_{TM} = \{(M, w) \mid TM M \text{ loops on } w\}$

CSC460 B4

Connection between $ACCEPT_{TM}$ and $HALT_{TM}$?

1

$ACCEPT_{TM}$ vs. $HALT_{TM}$

- Both are properties of the set of TMs and undecidable
 - **Property:** Division of a set, i.e., a subset
 - E.g., “concrete” (set of concrete things among everything, cf. abstract things)
- Each has a different decision criterion

Would other decision criteria on TMs also lead to undecidability?

CSC460 B4

2

Properties of TMs/Languages

- What a TM can recognize = Language
 - I.e., fix a TM \Rightarrow The language is fixed.
- Property of TMs/languages
 - A subset of all the TMs/languages
 - The power set of TMs/languages is uncountable.
 - Division of an uncountable set \Rightarrow Will always leave out an uncountable part (undecidable)

CSC460 B4

3

Example Properties

- Universal = $ACCEPT_{TM}$
- Terminating = $HALT_{TM}$
- Finite = $\{L \mid L \text{ is finite}\}$
 - $\sim \{M \mid TM M \text{ accepts a finite language}\}$
- Regular = $\{L \mid L \text{ is regular}\}$
 - $\sim \{M \mid TM M \text{ accepts a regular set}\}$
- Property X = $\{L \mid L \text{ has Property X}\}$
 - $\sim \{M \mid TM M \text{ recognizes Property X}\}$

CSC460 B4

4

Rice's Theorem

- Non-trivial properties of languages/TMs are undecidable \Leftarrow Uncountability
 - Note: Trivial properties (e.g., emptiness) that do not divide the entire set is trivially decidable.
- Potentially useful for Ex B5 (Comprehensive Ex)

Text Section 9.3.3

CSC460 B4

5

Dealing with Multiple Problems

- Consider: $ACCEPT_{TM}$ and $HALT_{TM}$
- Benefits of re-using known problems, e.g., in solving new problems

CSC460 B4

6

Unit B4: Overview

- Discuss a way to use one problem to analyze another problem
 - Understand problem transformation
 - Understand how certain properties of problems can be transferred
 - Discuss equivalence of problems
- Preview Exercise B4 “Reduction/Equivalence”

CSC460 B4


7

Review

Subproof Idea

To show: $HALT_{TM}$ is undecidable.

- Proof by contradiction
 - Suppose that $HALT_{TM}$ is *decidable*.
 - If “halt” is detected, accept/reject based on the UTM ($ACCEPT_{TM}$); otherwise, reject.
 - This is an algorithm for $ACCEPT_{TM}$.
 - A contradiction



CSC460 B4

8

Underlying Idea

- Suppose that $HALT_{TM}$ is decidable.
- To show that $ACCEPT_{TM}$ is also decidable, using the hypothesis.
 - I.e., simulate $ACCEPT_{TM}$ using the hypothetical, deciding TM for $HALT_{TM}$.

Schematic

CSC460 B4

9

Transferring Decidability?

- Consider problems **A** and **B**
- Would the following be correct?

A is transformed to **B** and **B** is decidable.
⇒ **A** is decidable as well.

CSC460 B4

10

Transferring Undecidability?

- Consider problems **A** and **B**
- Would the following be correct?

A is undecidable and is transformed to **B**.
⇒ **B** is undecidable as well.

Precise notion of such transformation?

CSC460 B4

11

Problem Transformation

- Motivations
 - Connect different problems, one of which has known properties
 - Identify applicable properties in other problems
- Requirement
 - Should maintain important properties

CSC460 B4

12

Reduction

- **A is reducible to B.**
 - ⇔ **A** is no more difficult than **B**.
 - ⇔ If one can solve **B**, one can solve **A**.
 - ⇔ If one **can't** solve **A**, one **can't** solve **B**.
 - ⇔ **A** can be simulated by **B**.
- Idea: One **cannot** solve a difficult problem with an easy means.

Different types of reducibility: e.g., mapping vs. Turing reducibility

CSC460 B4

13

Practice: Correct Reduction?

1. The state's daily deficit of 11 million units can be reduced to just three million.
2. A paper is reducible to one sentence.
3. Biology is reducible to physics.
4. A schematic diagram can be reduced to a set of logical propositions.
5. Goodness is reducible to betterness.

CSC460 B4

14

Theorems

- **A** is reducible to **B** and **B** is **decidable**.
 - ⇒ **A** is **decidable** as well.
 - Justification: By definition (decidability of **A** depends on that of **B**)
- **A** is reducible to **B** and **A** is **undecidable**.
 - ⇒ **B** is **undecidable** as well.
 - Justification: Proof by contradiction

CSC460 B4

15

Reduction Examples

Incorrect

- If $ACCEPT_{TM}$ is reducible to $HALT_{TM}$ and $HALT_{TM}$ is decidable, $ACCEPT_{TM}$ is also decidable.
- If $HALT_{TM}$ is reducible to $ACCEPT_{TM}$ and $HALT_{TM}$ is undecidable, $ACCEPT_{TM}$ is also undecidable.

Correct: reduction with respect to computability analysis

- If $ACCEPT_{comp}$ is reducible to $HALT_{comp}$ and $HALT_{TM}$ is **decidable**, $ACCEPT_{TM}$ is also **decidable**.
- If $HALT_{comp}$ is reducible to $ACCEPT_{comp}$ and $HALT_{TM}$ is **undecidable**, $ACCEPT_{TM}$ is also **undecidable**.

- If your problem is reducible to **my problem** and **my problem** is **acceptable**, your problem is also **acceptable**.

CSC460 B4

16

Theorems (generalized)

- **A** is reducible to **B** and **B** has a **positive** property (e.g., decidable, TM-recognizable).
 - ⇒ **A** has **the positive property** as well.
- **A** is reducible to **B** and **A** has a **negative** property (e.g., undecidable, non-TM-recognizable).
 - ⇒ **B** has **the negative property** as well.
- Positive:
- Negative:

CSC460 B4

17

Problem Equivalence

- Two problems are equivalent if they are reducible to each other.
- Two mechanisms are equivalent if they can solve exactly the same set of problems.
 - Equivalently, if they can simulate each other.

CSC460 B4

18

Equivalence Examples

Incorrect

- $ACCEPT_{TM}$ and $NACCEPT_{TM}$ are equivalent.
- $ACCEPT_{TM}$ and $HALT_{TM}$ are equivalent.

Correct

- $ACCEPT_{comp}$ and $NACCEPT_{comp}$ are equivalent.
- $ACCEPT_{comp}$ and $HALT_{comp}$ are equivalent.
- TMs with a semi-infinite tape are equivalent to standard TMs.
- Correct? TMs are equivalent to computers. [to be discussed]
- A computational decision problem is equivalent to a set membership problem.

CSC460 B4

Some qualifications

19

Unit Summary

- Understand the notion of reduction as problem transformation
 - Need at least as a powerful problem/mechanism to solve the original
 - Positive/negative properties can be analyzed.
- Understand the notion of problem equivalence
 - Through set comparison
 - Through machine comparison (simulation)

CSC460 B4

20

Summary Question

- For the past few class meetings, we have been discussing technical topics. It is natural that you have questions and feel uncertainty. What are they?

CSC460 B4

21