

Module B Evaluation

Review your portfolio

- My comments are sporadic and scattered on Review Ex, Comprehensive Ex, Supp. Notes, and Reflective Essay.
- You are encouraged to clarify and discuss my comments.
- You can keep the folder till the next class; then, return it to me.

CSC460 C1

1

Unit C1: Overview


- Overview Module C
- Discuss Ex B6/C0 “The Power of TMs”
- Introduce another model of computation (equivalent to the TM)
- Explore the effects of downgrading TMs
- Identifying the minimal mechanism for the given problem
- Preview Exercise C1 “Chomsky Hierarchy”

CSC460 C1

rough intro; more details later

2

Overview: Theory of Computation



CSC460 C1

3

Module C Goals (1)

Content Goals

5. Formal languages and automata
 - a. “Hierarchy” of TM-recognizable languages
 - b. Unrestricted grammars (rewriting system) – TMs
 - c. Context-free languages (CFLs) – CFGs – PDAs). When/how to use this class to analyze problems.
 - d. Deterministic subset of CFLs – DPDAs
 - e. Regular languages (regular sets– RegExps– FSAs). When/how to use this class to analyze problems.
 - f. Show that some language is *not* regular.
 - g. Show that some language is *not* context-free. That certain properties of CFLs are undecidable.
 - h. Could explain this goal to CS students outside this class.

CSC460 C1

4

Module C Goals (2)

Content Goals

7. Power set
 - a. Nondeterminism as the power set of the possible states.
 - b. Nondeterminism with respect to TMs, PDAs, FSAs.

Performance Goals

4. Critical attitude
 - a. *Critically* analyzed the usefulness of the “languages/automata” area of the (traditional) Theory of Computation
5. Communication **choosing the minimal mechanism**
 - a. Completed all the exercises on time (take-home and in-class).
 - b. Made conscious efforts to promote transfer of learning among students **different background for this module**

CSC460 C1

5

Ex B6/C0: The Power of TMs

The entire problem

- TMs are the appropriate mechanism.
- TMs are too weak.
- TMs are too powerful.

Modules/subproblems

CSC460 C1

6

Power-Economy Tradeoff

- Complex mechanisms are more powerful than simple ones (can represent more variety of problems/languages).
- Simple mechanisms are less expensive than complex ones (can operate simply and faster in general).
- An appropriate level would be the least powerful one for the requirement.

CSC460 C1

7

Downgrading TMs


- Imposing a specific tape organization
 - As a stack
 - As a queue
- Limiting the tape size
 - Set some finite fixed bound
 - Limit the growth (e.g., linearly with the input)
- Limiting the head mechanism
 - Read-only
 - One-way scanning only

CSC460 C1

alternative models 8

TM-Equivalent Models

Review



CSC460 C1

Other models? 9

Identifying Alternative Models

- Problem ~ set
 - Most commonly as a language (set of strings)
 - Recognized by a TM
- Whatever mechanism that can recognize (or generate) a string can be examined for the equivalence with a TM (or some downgraded forms).

CSC460 C1

10

Grammar

- Grammar [unrestricted grammar, semi-Thue system]
 - Rewrite rules of the form: $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$
 - where α, β are strings of symbols and $|\alpha| > 0$

- $S \rightarrow NP_1 V NP_2$
- $NP_1 V NP_2 \rightarrow NP_2$ is Ved by NP_1
- $NP_1 \rightarrow$ liisa | tiina
- $NP_2 \rightarrow$ mikko | seppo
- $V \rightarrow$ kisses | kicks
- Ved \rightarrow kissed | kicked

CSC460 C1

TM vs. grammar? 11

Using a Grammar

- Generating a string
- Accepting a string (parsing)

- $S \rightarrow NP_1 V NP_2$
- $NP_1 V NP_2 \rightarrow NP_2$ is Ved by NP_1
- $NP_1 \rightarrow$ liisa | tiina
- $NP_2 \rightarrow$ mikko | seppo
- $V \rightarrow$ kisses | kicks
- Ved \rightarrow kissed | kicked

- Terminal symbols [lower case]: Cannot be rewritten
- Nonterminal symbols [UPPER CASE]: Must be rewritten

CSC460 C1

12

TM vs. Grammar

- Equivalent!
- I.e., both can recognize/generate exactly the same class of languages
 - TM-recognizable
 - **Recursively enumerable (RE)** [standard term in this context]
- Proof idea
 - A grammar simulated by a TM
 - A TM simulated by a grammar

CSC460 C1

13

TM or Grammar?

- Modeling computation
- Modeling function
- Classifying sets (including computational problems)
- Declarative specification
- Specification of programming languages
- Description of human languages
- Analysis of different classes

CSC460 C1

14

Grammar Variations

- Conditions on the length of LHS (left-hand side) and/or RHS
- Conditions on the type of string on the RHS

- $S \rightarrow NP_1 V NP_2$
- $NP_1 V NP_2 \rightarrow NP_2$ is Ved by NP_1
- $NP_1 \rightarrow$ liisa | tiina
- $NP_2 \rightarrow$ mikko | seppo
- $V \rightarrow$ kisses | kicks
- Ved \rightarrow kissed | kicked

CSC460 C1

15

Grammar Hierarchy

- Type 0: **Unrestricted Grammar**
- Type 1: **Context-Sensitive Grammar (CSG)**
 - $|LHS| \leq |RHS|$ [i.e., no shrinking]
- Type 2: **Context-Free Grammar (CFG)**
 - $|LHS| = 1$ [i.e., ignore the context of rewriting]
- Type 3: **Regular Grammar** - Regular Expression
 - RHS has at most one nonterminal at the left/right edge.

CSC460 C1

examples?

16

Automata Hierarchy

- Type 0: **TM**
- Type 1: **Linear Bounded Automaton (LBA)**
 - Tape space limited to the input size
- Type 2: **Pushdown Automaton (PDA)**
 - Tape as a stack (with a separate input tape)
- Type 3: **Finite-State Automaton (FSA)**
 - Head movement only to the right

CSC460 C1

schematics

17


Language Hierarchy

- Type n languages are accepted/generated by Type n grammars and recognized by Type n automata.
- Type 0: **RE** (TM-recognizable)
- Type 1: **Context-Sensitive Languages (CSLs)**
- Type 2: **Context-Free Languages (CFLs)**
- Type 3: **Regular Languages**

CSC460 C1

18

Chomsky Hierarchy



CSC460 C1

Contributions of Chomsky

19

Group Exercise 1

Identify the minimal type for the following

- Pattern matching?
- Programming language specification?
- Representing human language?

Discuss the following

- Effects of nondeterminism?
- Is the hierarchy exhaustive?
 - Where is the set of decidable languages?
 - Class like Type 1.5?

CSC460 C1

See Ex C1

20


Recursive Sets

- Decidable = Recursive
- Theorem: $CSLs \subset \text{Recursive Sets}$ (i.e., proper inclusion)
- Proof idea
 - $CSLs \subseteq \text{Recursive Sets}$
 - There is a recursive set that is not a CSL.

CSC460 C1

21

Extended Chomsky Hierarchy



CSC460 C1

22

Power-Economy Tradeoff, Revisited

- Higher-level grammars/automata are more powerful than lower-level ones (can represent more variety of languages).
- Lower-level grammars/automata are less expensive than higher-level ones (can operate simply and faster in general).
- An appropriate level would be the least powerful one for the requirement.

CSC460 C1

23

Unit Summary

- Grammars
- Connection between grammars, automata, and languages (problems)
- Chomsky hierarchy
- Identifying the minimal mechanism for the given problem

CSC460 C1

24

Summary Question

- What would be your guiding principle to identify the simplest mechanism/approach (not necessarily in CS)? Explain.
- Questions/Comments/Suggestions