

Unit D6: Overview

- Explore models of super-Turing computability
 - Reading: van Leeuwen & Wiedermann
 - Other models
- Summaries: Unit, Course
- Exercise D6 (Module D Comprehensive) “Mini Research”

CSC460 D6

1

Group Exercise 1

- Compare your exercises (reading van Leeuwen & Wiedermann)
- Prepare to present interesting points (possibly including similarities and differences)

CSC460 D6

2

Reading: van Leeuwen & Wiedermann

1. What is the main research question of the paper?
2. What is the significance of the research question?
3. Does the paper respond to the research question well?
4. Is the paper organized well?
5. Summarize the author's arguments *in the order of importance* (as you understand).
6. Were you convinced by Proposition 5 and its proof (p. 10)?
7. What is the difference between the (traditional) Church-Turing thesis and the extended Church-Turing thesis (proposed in the paper)? What kind of impacts could the extension have?
8. Try to criticize the author's position/arguments. If you cannot do this, explain why.
9. How would the author's arguments affect your analyses of your own problems and/or your choice of the mini research problems that have been done so far, *focusing on computability and (time) complexity?*

CSC460 D6

3

Sample Problems

- Driving from one place to another
- “Real” web programming (arachnidan)
- Bird flocking
- Psycho-social behaviors **cf. Chomsky**
 - E.g., child-parent attachment, language
- “Gaia” hypothesis (the entire earth as an organism)
- The universe

CSC460 D6

Can site machines/TM with advice do these?

4

Super-Turing: Requirements

- **Interactivity**: Can accept input and deliver output in the middle of computation
- **Robustness**: Can deal with fuzzy, unknown, unpredictable environments (inputs)
- **Adaptability**: Can change according to the environment (i.e., learning)

CSC460 D6

5

Super-Turing: Assumptions

- Extension: The TM-based “theory” is to be a special case of the theory of interaction.
- Multiple levels of representation (cf. Church-Turing Thesis) **Why this many?**
 - Machine models (cf. TMs, URM, RAMs)
 - Mathematical/functional models (cf. recursive functions, λ -calculus)
- Ockham's Razor: Choose the simplest if multiple options are available

CSC460 D6

6

SuperTM Models

- **Site machines** (concrete machine model) [van Leeuwen & Wiedermann]
- **TMs with advice function** (abstract machine model) [van Leeuwen & Wiedermann]
- **Interaction machines (persistent TMs)** (abstract machine model) [Wegner & Goldin]
- **yet another machine model** (intentionally kept untold at this point)
- **π -calculus** (functional model) [Milner and others]
- **Neural models** [e.g., Siegelmann]
- **Quantum/relativistic computing** cf. on-line references

CSC460 D6

7

Interaction Machine (IM)

- Note: TM computation = Single session
- IM computation = Multiple sessions
- **Interactivity**: Realized as *repeated* TM sessions where information can be *stored* on a working tape
- Multiple input streams on multiple tapes
- An extension of a multiple-tape TM

Variations?

CSC460 D6

8

Variations

- General: **MIM** (Multiple-stream IM)
 - Model of distributed computing
- Special: **SIM** (Single-stream or Sequential IM)
 - Model of 2-agent interaction


MIM: not yet well-developed

CSC460 D6

9

Single-stream IM (SIM)

- Single input stream on a single tape
- Work tape will be preserved (persistent).
- Behavior: set of I/O streams (cf. TM behavior: set of I/O pairs)



Example: Answering machine
 (input, work) => (output, work)

- (record Y, X) => (ok, XY)
- (playback, X) => (X, X)
- (erase, X) => (done, ε)

CSC460 D6

10

Properties of SIMs

- SIM states depend on the content of the working tape [no bound].
- Infinitely many states (cf. finite TM states)
- Uncountably many SIM's (cf. countably many TMs)
- Can handle uncountable sets (cf. TM can handle countable sets, i.e., uncountable ~ unsolvable)

CSC460 D6

11

Properties of MIMs

- No single observer (on a single stream) has complete information.
 - Cf. A SIM has complete information (about the interaction between the two parties).
 - Analogy: “Blind men and an elephant”
- Multiple streams cannot be serialized.

Example: Delegation

- Stream 1: (main-in, main-out)
- Stream 2: (sub-out, sub-in)
- Coordination: (main-in, sub-out, sub-in, main-out)

CSC460 D6

12

Comparison

- **TM:** 1-agent systems \Leftrightarrow countable sets
 - Traditional Church-Turing Thesis for algorithmic computability (TM, λ -calculus)
- **SIM:** 2-agent systems \Leftrightarrow uncountable sets
 - Extended Church-Turing Thesis for sequential interaction (SIM, calculus?) TM as amnesic SIM
- **MIM:** n -agent systems \Leftrightarrow ?
 - Ultimate Church-Turing Thesis for general interaction (MIM, calculus?)

CSC460 D6

13

Analysis of IM

- Interactivity
- Robustness
- Adaptability

CSC460 D6

14

Functional Model

- TM \Leftrightarrow λ -calculus
- SIM \Leftrightarrow ?
- MIM \Leftrightarrow ?

CSC460 D6

15

λ -calculus

Formal representation of functions

- Abstraction: $\lambda x. exp$ [define a function]
- Application: $exp_1 exp_2$ [give an argument]
- β -reduction: $(\lambda x. exp) y$ [use a function]
 - The result is exp where the instances of x is replaced with y .
 - E.g., $(\lambda x. f(f(x))) 0 = f(f(0))$

CSC460 D6

16


λ -calculus Examples

- Identity function: $\lambda x. x$
- Church numeral
 - Representation of a natural number n : $\lambda f. \lambda x. f^n(x)$
 - $0 = \lambda f. \lambda x. x$ [Note: $\lambda f. \lambda x. f^0(x)$]
 - $Succ = \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. (f^n f x)$
 - $1 = Succ\ 0 = \lambda f. \lambda x. (f((\lambda f. \lambda x. x) f x)) = \lambda f. \lambda x. (f(\lambda x. x x)) = \lambda f. \lambda x. (f x)$ [Note: $\lambda f. \lambda x. f^1(x)$]
- Conditional
 - $T = \lambda x \lambda y. x$ [i.e., picking the first argument]
 - $F = \lambda x \lambda y. y$ [i.e., picking the second argument]
 - $B\ M\ N$ (if B then M else N) where B is T or F

CSC460 D6

17

Example Scenario



CSC460 D6

18


π-Calculus

Changes to λ-calculus

- Output mechanism
 - Cf. β-reduction: $(\lambda x.exp) y$ as an input mechanism
- Parallel composition of processes
 - Commutative, associative
- Meaning as state change
- Referencing (by name)
 - Cf. pointers in modern programming languages

π-Calculus Example

$$CAR(talk, switch) \stackrel{def}{=} out[|talk, word|]CAR(talk, switch) \vee I[|switch, ch_i|]I[|switch, ch_j|]CAR(ch_i, ch_j)$$



$$NETWORK \stackrel{def}{=} (CAR(talk_i, switch_i) | STATION_1 | \dots | CONTROL)$$

talk₁, switch₁

simplified notation

Analysis of π-Calculus


- Interactivity
- Robustness
- Adaptability

Super-Turing Thesis

- Would all models of interaction converge?
- Would it be the next level of computation/interaction/emergence?

Both Turing and von Neumann have foreseen super-Turing computation, even though their names are more strongly associated with algorithmic computation. Why did most computer scientists pick up only the algorithmic aspect of their ideas?

Extended Theory of Computation



Unit Summary [critical view]

- TM-based theory
 - Stimulus-response without thinking
 - Vertebrate with a disabled brain
 - Cf. "chicken without a head"
- Theory must evolve into a more sophisticated beast.
 - Modeling full-fledged interaction
- Some building blocks are emerging.

Module D Evaluation Workshop

- Mini research presentations
 - Know the time limit; Think big; Be creative
- Peer discussions
- Reflection and self-evaluation

Practicum evaluation

- Wed., Apr. 27
- Detailed instructions will be distributed later (but already available on-line)

Course Summary

- Understanding the “Theory” \Rightarrow Computer scientist (good at solving algorithmic problems)
- Understanding the **limitations** of the “Theory” \Rightarrow Philosopher (good at solving “real” problems)
- Change in your brain?

Sin minas, no hay tango.
Sin teoría, no hay ciencia.